









Theater Mental Health Encounter Data (TMHED): Overview of Study Design and Methods

Terry L. Conway

Paul S. Hammer Hoa L. Ly

Michael R. Galarneau Kimberly J. Schmitz

Gerald E. Larson Jennifer A. Webb-Murphy

Nathan K. Edwards Wayne C. Boucher
Emily A. Schmied Douglas C. Johnson

Shiva G. Ghaed



Naval Health Research Center

Report No. 10-40

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government..

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited..

Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Road San Diego, California 92106

Theater Mental Health Encounter Data (TMHED): Overview of Study Design and Methods

Terry L. Conway, PhD*; CAPT Paul S. Hammer, MC USN†; Michael R. Galarneau, MS*; Gerald E. Larson, PhD*; Nathan K. Edwards, MA*; Emily A. Schmied, MPH*; Hoa L. Ly, MS*; Kimberly J. Schmitz, MS†; Jennifer A. Webb-Murphy, PhD†; LCDR Wayne C. Boucher, MSC USN‡; Douglas C. Johnson, PhD*; Shiva G. Ghaed, PhD†

ABSTRACT Research has documented higher risks for mental health problems among service members deployed to war zones, yet a research limitation has been that assessment has generally occurred often years after combat exposure. The Operational Stress Control and Readiness program integrated mental health practitioners with 1st Marine Division units serving in Iraq. This team documented mental health visits between January 2006 and January 2007 and developed the Theater Mental Health Encounter Database (TMHED). This report describes the TMHED study design, measures, and cases. Of 1,336 patients (3,180 patient visits), 10% were women, 75% were high school educated, 55% were midpaygrade enlisted, and 63% were on their first combat deployment. Compared with the overall deployed population, patient percentages included higher percentages of Marines and Navy personnel but lower percentages of Army and Air Force personnel, more junior enlisted but fewer officers, and fewer college graduates. TMHED provides an unprecedented opportunity to study early psychiatric intervention in a combat zone and prospectively examines postdeployment health and career outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

A growing body of research has documented the higher risk of both mental and physical health problems among individuals deployed to war zones and exposed to combat stress.¹⁻¹⁵ War veterans from all recent eras—including World War II, Korea, Vietnam, the Persian Gulf Conflict, and more recently, Iraq and Afghanistan—have increasingly been treated at veterans' hospitals for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and other mental disorders.¹² Research has consistently indicated that military personnel exposed to combat and deployment stressors are at increased risk of mental health problems, such as PTSD, serious depression, alcohol and other substance abuse, impaired work and social functioning, and increased use of health care services.²⁻¹⁵

Much of the previous research with military personnel on combat-related PTSD and other mental disorders are limited in that the research often has taken place years after war zone deployment and combat exposure. Even in more recent research on military members deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan, self-report survey assessments typically are completed after deployment and once the military member is out of harm's

way.^{4,6,7,13} Biases or errors in self-reporting related to combat exposure may have occurred, and symptoms may have changed as the time between stress exposure and postdeployment circumstances increased.

A few recent prospective studies on deployed military members have examined PTSD and other mental disorders taking either predeployment factors into account^{14–16} or documenting physical injuries¹⁷ (e.g., from the Combat Trauma Registry Expeditionary Medical Encounter Database) that occurred during deployment.¹⁸ Recent innovative research using in-theater systems of surveillance of combat and operational stress reactions¹⁹ has examined the impact of predeployment behavioral health screening on mental health problems during combat deployment.²⁰ However, we could find no previous research using in-depth documentation of psychiatric encounters (including patient-reported combat exposures) in the Iraqi combat theater, or how in-theater psychiatric intervention related to postdeployment outcomes.

The lack of robust in-depth information on in-theater mental health encounters, types and amounts of combat exposures, and how they might influence postdeployment mental health represents a gap in our understanding of combat stressors and their impact on mental health. Research to help fill this gap could lead to improvements in early intervention and better physical and mental health outcomes after leaving combat theaters. In addition, studies related to the delivery and impact of in-theater mental health encounters could help improve early interventions and improve treatment of war-related psychological health problems.

The primary aim of this report is to describe the Theater Mental Health Encounter Database (TMHED), which

^{*}Naval Health Research Center, 140 Sylvester Road, San Diego, CA 92106-3521.

[†]Naval Center for Combat and Operational Stress Control (NCCOSC), Naval Medical Center San Diego, 34960 Bob Wilson Drive, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92134-6400.

[‡]Operational Stress Control and Readiness (OSCAR) Program, Division Surgeon Office, 1st Marine Division, Camp Pendleton, CA 92055.

The views expressed are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

documented psychiatric clinical encounters from January 2006 through January 2007 in the Iraqi combat theater. Data were collected as part of a yearlong pilot program that developed and collected in-depth psychiatric records in conjunction with in-theater psychiatric services. This report describes the TMHED study design, data collection approach, types of measures comprising the data sets, and select descriptive characteristics of cases. A secondary aim was to compare the TMHED patients on several key demographic characteristics with the population of U.S. troops deployed in the Iraqi combat theater during the same time frame. This comparison was a first step in identifying potential risk factors for mental health problems in-theater by examining similarities and differences between those seeking or being referred for mental health services in a combat zone with the full deployed population. Although the primary purpose of this report is to document procedures used in this pilot program to establish the TMHED database, subsequent reports will address broader study objectives integrating TMHED data with both pre- and postdeployment personnel/career history and medical information. The TMHED database provides a unique opportunity to study characteristics of in-theater mental health encounters, and findings may have implications for postdeployment health care and job performance.

METHODS

Study Design and Procedures

The Operational Stress Control and Readiness (OSCAR) program integrated mental health practitioners into Navy and Marine Corps units serving in the Iraqi combat theater. The 1st Marine Division OSCAR team providers developed and implemented a yearlong pilot program to document in-depth information for all psychiatric encounters (including diagnoses and treatments, as well as combat exposures and personal histories) for all cases seen between January 2006 and January 2007. Because the OSCAR providers were deployed with the 1st Marine Division, the populations served during this time frame were stationed primarily in and around Al Anbar province. Thus, the cases that providers treated were primarily Marines, but also included personnel from other U.S. military services and a small number of civilians and foreign nationals (however, civilians and foreign nationals were not included in the TMHED database described here).

The division psychiatrist and his team deployed with the 1st Marine Division (total of 19 providers, including 9 psychiatrists and 10 psychologists) administered mental health services to patients. These providers extensively documented each mental health encounter and administered counseling intervention and treatment to all service members referred for psychiatric intervention while in theater. At each encounter, providers recorded clinical case summary information, including reported combat and psychological trauma exposure, current stress and affective symptoms, mental status, head injuries/symptoms, developmental and social history,

psychiatric and stress diagnoses, treatment plans, and disposition of cases.

Information from clinical case summaries for each encounter was entered into the TMHED database and maintained by the Naval Center for Combat & Operational Stress Control after the yearlong data collection period. After removing all psychiatric clinical notes from records, the data were transported to the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC) for coding and processing into analysis-ready data files.

Given the nature of the data, extra precautions were taken to protect privacy and reduce any risks involving unauthorized disclosure of identifiers, sensitive personal data, or protected health or psychiatric information. Mental health data, such as the types collected in a combat theater, are especially sensitive and require heightened efforts to reduce any risk of inappropriate disclosure that could adversely impact a person's reputation or postservice employability. Thus, efforts at multiple levels were implemented to minimize any risk of inappropriate disclosure, including removal of clinical notes before the transfer of TMHED data records to NHRC, secure transport of data files from Bob Wilson Naval Hospital to NHRC, and storage of data at NHRC on secure network drives and secure source folders using password-protected, access-restricted accounts, and passwordprotected computers. Results are presented only in aggregate form so individual cases cannot be identified. All procedures used for processing and analyzing TMHED data have been reviewed and approved by the NHRC Institutional Review Board.

Subject Cases

As noted above, psychiatric encounter information was documented for all cases seen by 1st Marine Division psychiatrists, psychologists, and mental health technicians in the Iraqi combat theater between January 2006 and January 2007. However, because the encounter documentation forms and software were revised in July 2006, separate databases (with overlapping but not identical measures) were developed for the first half and the second half of the yearlong data collection period. Because some measures were available only for TMHED patients with visits documented in one or the other versions of the software, descriptive statistics are provided separately for each version to indicate the potential sample size for measures available in the different databases.

Overall, there were 1,336 patients with TMHED-documented psychiatric encounters in theater during the year of data collection. A total of 3,180 patient visits were documented, with 1–16 visits per person. The breakdown across databases (i.e., encounter recorded using different versions of the documentation software) was n = 558 patients (total of 1,345 patient visits) documented only in Database 1 (DB1), n = 705 patients (total of 1,390 patient visits) with encounters documented only in the Database 2 (DB2), and n = 73 patients (total of 445 patient visits) with at least one encounter documented in both databases.

Measures

Paper forms and Microsoft Office Access databases were developed to document patient information and provider diagnoses and treatment plans. At each encounter, providers recorded a clinical case summary, including (a) patient-reported information related to combat and psychological trauma exposure, current stress and affective symptoms, head injuries/symptoms, and developmental and social history questions and (b) provider assessments, including patient's mental status, psychiatric and stress diagnoses, treatment plans, and disposition of case.

As indicated above, the encounter documentation form and software were modified halfway through the yearlong data capture period, which produced two separate databases with similar, but nonidentical, measures documenting psychiatric encounters during the intervention period. The paper form used for documenting information during January 2006 through mid-July 2007 in DB1 is shown in Figures 1 and 2. Beginning mid-July 2006, revised versions of the form and documentation software were implemented at the recommendation of OSCAR psychiatric staff. The form documenting encounter information in DB2 is shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Navy-M	arine Co	rps	– TI	nea	ter Medic	al	Re	qi	stry F	or	m – Ps	vc	hiat	try (V	I)
Name (Last, First MI):			Patient	I.D. /	SSN:		nk:				MOS:	Τ	Init:		
Date of Birth:		Gend	der: 🔲	Male	Female	Bio	ood .	Туре	: N/A		Allergies				
MTF Patient Evacuated F	Design	esignation: MTF Location: Facility Type:													
Medical Visi		☐ Disease ☐ Non-Battle Injury					_					Follow-Up			
Date/Time of Injury:	t: Battle Wounded				Training			ego		7	11000		_	ost Nation S	
Date/Time of Arrival:				Self Accident Self Non-Accident oftry) Other: Unknown					arine Corps avy my r Force		SOF Civilian Contractor Combatant			☐ TCN: ☐ NGO: ☐ Other: ☐ Unknown ☐ None	
	C Sportary	- Creatic			CHRIGHT	-		/S AII	rorce		Trauma E		Ц		
Brief History:						t	w	E	(W - V	Vitne		W	E	(E – Ex	perienced)
N/A(no clinical notes availa	ble)					- [믑	미	Aerial Bor Aggravate		0.11	무	<u> </u>	Helicopte Plane Cra	
						}	旹	믐	Aggravate Assault /			믐	믐	IED Plane Cra	isn
								미	Biological				Q		ehicle Borne)
						- }	믐	믐	Bite / Stin			믐	믐	Knife / Ed	
i I						ŀ	붑	븜	Building C		ose	눔	남		y / Equipment
						Ţ			Bum					Mortar	
						- }	믐	믐	Chemical Crush			믐	믬	Motor Vel Parachute	hicle Accident
						ŀ	ᡖ	늄	Drowning			늄	甘	Pedestria	
								믜	Electrical	/ Ele				Radiation	/ Nuclear
						- 1	믐	뮈	Environm	ental		믐	H	Rocket RPG	
						ı	늄	늄	Flying De	bris		늄		Unexplod	ed Ordnance
						Ī	☐ ☐ Grenade						Other:		
						ŀ	□ □ GSW / Bullet □ Unknown □ □ □ Hot Object / Liquid No. of Combat Deployme					□ N/A			
					Symptom 9	Scree			T HOL ODJCC	, C.	quiu		. 0. 00	mbar Deplo	, inenta.
Traumatic Dissociative (3 or more)	Stress		-		Affec		i- 0			1.	7 Davida Amari	. 74 .		ciety	0
☐ Numbing, detachments, I	ack of emotions			Depressive Episode (5 or more in 2 wks) Depressive mood					☐ Panic Attack (4+ symptoms within 10 minutes) ☐ Palpitation						
☐ Reduced awareness, bei				☐ Anhedonia						☐ Sweating					
☐ Derealization ☐ Depersonalization				☐ Weight change ☐ Insomnia / Hypersomnia / Sieep disturbance						☐ Trembling or shaking ☐ Short of breath/smothering					
☐ Dissociative Amnesia				☐ Psychomotor agitation or retardation					☐ Short of breatrysmothering ☐ Choking						
				☐ Fatigue / loss of energy						☐ Chest Pain					
☐ Re-experiencing (1 or mo				☐ Feelings of worthlessness ☐ Inappropriate / excessive guilt						☐ Nausea or abdominal distress ☐ Dizzy, unsteady, lightheaded, faint					
☐ Nightmares	ita dira roomiga			Poor concentration or indecisiveness						☐ Derealization / depersonalization					
☐ Intense arousal at remino	ers			Recurrent thoughts of death						L	Fear of losing control or going crazy Fear of dying				
Avoidance of Stimuli				Suicidal Ideation Manic Episode (elevated mood + 3 or more below)						╁	☐ Parasthesias				
Anxiety or Increased Arou				Distinct period of elevated mood						1	Chills or hot flashes				
☐ Sleep delay or interruptio ☐ Irritability	n		┥	During	mood elevation 3	or mo	ne of	the fo	ollowing:	h	Psychotic S	vm ni	oms (1 or more)	
Poor concentration] Inf	lated self-esteem o	r gran	diosi	ty		Ļ	☐ Hallucinati		· · · · · ·	☐ Paran	
☐ Exaggerated startle ☐ PCL-M Score			+		creased need for s					1	Delusions				ht blocking
☐ Anger			+	□ Fli	ore talkative / pressight of ideas / racing	thou	speec ghts	43		+	☐ Illusions ☐ Ideas of R	efere	nce	☐ Deraili	nent
☐ Safety Screen			=		ght of ideas / racing stractibility					1.					
Risk to Self Risk to Others			+		cessive high risk pl crease in goal-direc	easur ted ar	able	activi /	ties	+-	Operational Non-Comb	at S	vere E	vent	
				ps	sychomotor agitation	n	,				Peer / Unit	Con	flict	Charac	ter Factors
Symptom Screening Othe	r.				· ·					╁	☐ Leadership ☐ Homefront	Issu	iilict es	☐ Pre-ex	isting Condition
Somatic Symptoms Chec	bliet:							Но	ad Injury C)her	kliet				
Pain G.I.	. Ne	uro			Conversion Sym	ptom	5	Phy	/sical	-110(Cognition			Emotiona	
☐ Head ☐ Nause ☐ Abdomen ☐ Vomiti		Impaire	ed balano	e	☐ Paralysis ☐ Vision Loss		_		Headache Dizziness		☐ Poor mem ☐ Confusion				e in personality
☐ Abdomen ☐ Vomiti		Vertigo			☐ Vision Loss ☐ Hearing Loss				Dizziness Blurred visio	n	Slowed th		,	☐ Mood	swings er outbursts
☐ Joints ☐ Consti	pation 🔲	Weakn	ess		☐ Other				Vomiting		☐ Trouble re			Loss in	n interest
☐ Extremitles ☐ Chest	0	Loss of conscio	usness	ļ	Aggression	nle			Fatigue Light / noise		☐ Trouble concentra	tina		Withdr	awal
□ CHest	<u> </u>				☐ Assault on peo	object	ts	ш	sensitivity		concentra	ung		<u> </u>	
Medical Screen: No	ne 🔲 CI	osed H	lead Tra	uma	☐ L.O.C.			Seiz	ure						
Notes:									· · · · · · ·						
N/A															

FIGURE 1. Patient-provider visit documentation form: Version 1 (DB1)—Front page.

Name (Last, First	MI):		Patient I.D. / SSN:									
Current Medications	List:		Curre	nt Proble	ems List:							
Past Psychiatric Hist Notes:	ory: None	☐ Hospitalization xdays	☐ Outpa	ient	☐ Medication:	s ☐ Thera	oy / Counseling	1				
Substance Abuse:	None			Notes:								
EtOH - Amount:	Frequency:	Duration:	N/A									
Drugs - Duration:		Type:		N/A								
Supplements - An	nount: A	Abuse Type:										
☐ Tobacco – Type: (Cigars / Cigarettes	/ Smokeless Amount: per day / we	ek									
		Developmental an										
Family of C	Origin	Education	Oc	cupationa	al / Military Histo		elationships (S	upport)				
☐ No Problems	_	☐ < High School ☐ GED	□ No	Problem	s	Sing	le					
☐ Denies Violence	Abuse:	☐ High School Graduate	Fire	ed		☐ Man	ied					
☐ EtOH	☐ Sexual	☐ Some College ☐ BA/BS degree	□ kJ	>		☐ Divo	rced					
☐ Drugs	☐ Physical	Graduate coursework	□ Co	ırts Marti	ial	Othe						
Other abuse:		☐ Graduate degree	☐ Jai									
Notes:		Notes:	Notes			Notes:						
			l									
N/A		N/A	N/A			N/A		- 1				
		Mental Stat	us Exam									
App. Behavior: WD WN in NAD, appropriately attired in uniform. Eye Contact / Speech: Good eye contact, normal speech.												
Motor: No povehom	Mood: ☐ Stated mood was:											
Motor: ☐ No psychomotor agitation or retardation.				Affect was								
Thought Processes W	Thought Content WNL: No SI/HI, intent or plan/No evidence of psychosis											
Cognition: A & O x 3 with concentration intact. Intelligence estimated to be:				Memory: ☐ Intact for immediate, long and short term memory.								
Judgment: Intact				Insight: Good								
Impulse Control: I Ir	ntact											
	Psychiatric D	Diagnosis			Combat & Oper	rational Stress	Diagnosis					
Axis I:			☐ Combat Stress: ☐ Light ☐ Heavy ☐ None ☐ N									
Axis II:			☐ Traumatic Stress Injury									
Axis III:			∐_Ira			- Diseados)						
Axis IV:			☐ ASD (Acute Stress Disorder) ☐ PTSD (Post Traumatic Stress Disorder)									
Axis V: GAF (Current Axis V: GAF (Past Yr					t Applicable	Halic Siless Disc	idei)					
Formulation:	<u> </u>		Notes: N/A									
		Treatmen	nt Plan									
Goals:				ons / Inte	erventions	Г	Informed Cor	sent Given				
1,			1.	ono, mi		-	,					
2.												
3.			3.			··						
		Dispos ☐ Return To Duty/Fit for full duty			state for the end	a polition - the	t thin tire-					
Duty Status: Light Limitations:	Safety: At low risk for harm to self or others at this time At high risk for harm to self or others, precautions listed below.											
Notes: N/A				<u> </u>		to sell or others	p10000010110					
Provider Signature:			Date/Time:									
Provider Name (Print	ted or Typed):											

FIGURE 2. Patient-provider visit documentation form: Version 1 (DB1)—Back page.

The mid-year revisions of encounter documentation forms and software were done in response to feedback from mental health staff who noted that some of the data elements in the original version were not designed in a way that best captured patient information provided during psychiatric visits. The bulk of the revisions were made to the Trauma Exposure section, which originally was adapted from a trauma registry documenting "method of injury," but was then modified to quantify specific combat exposures. For example, in DB1 trauma exposure was documented by check marking whether the service member either witnessed or experienced combat events (e.g., aerial bomb blast, land mine). However, to more fully capture a person's exposure to potentially traumatizing events, the DB2 format was changed so that combat trauma

exposure was quantified as the number of times an event occurred; in addition, both new events that occurred since the last visit (if applicable) and total number of previous exposures to the events were quantified separately in DB2.

Comparisons With Deployed Population in Iraqi Combat Theater

TMHED patients were compared on several key demographic characteristics with the population of U.S. troops deployed in the Iraqi combat theater during the same time frame of the TMHED study. This population was identified using a deployment database maintained at NHRC. This database was compiled using records from the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), which maintains deployment-related data for all

Name (Last, First MI):	Г		Nav	y-Marine (Corps	– T	hea	ter M	edi	cal Re	gi	stry	For	m –	Psyc	hiatry	' (V	2)	
Date of Birth:	Nan	ne (L														Reserv	e:	IA:	
Allergias MTF Designation: MTF Location: Get DGPS Get DGP	Dat	e of E	3irth:		Gend								te/Time of						
Combat and Psychological Trauma Exposure N P (N= 6 of New Exposures since last visit) Almost Serious from the common since last visit) Almost Serious from the common since last visit of	Alle	Allergies: MTF D																	
Note						C	omba	t and Psvo	cholo	gical Trau	ma E	xposu	re			100.	7 001	O LITTUIO DIOG	
Amost Seriously lipited Severit Decided Seve	N	Р	(N= # of	New Exposures sinc	o last visit)	_	Р							ΤP					
Attacked by Indirect Fire Attacked by Indirect Fire Attacked by Indirect Fire Attacked by Indirect Fire Attacked by Renal Arms Attacked by Renal Arms Attacked by Small Arms Attacked by French Attacked by French Attacked by French Attacked by French Being Wounded or Injured Being Wounded or Injury Being Wounded or Injury Being Wounded or Injury Being Wounded Being Wounded or Injury Being Wounded or Injury Being Wounded Being Wounde			Almost Se	eriously Injured															
Attacked by Indice Free														-					
Attacked by Sind American Seeing serious siyury of freed Other						-	₩					nains		╁					
Attacked by Small Arms Fire	\vdash					+	┼				18		+	+					
Attacked by YBIED Seeing serious fluiry of friend Unknown N/A		=				\top	 				memb	ber		+					
Being Wounded or Injured Seeing DeathMaining of WomerChildren			Attacked I	by VBIED				Seeing se	rious ir	njury of frien	id				☐ Uni	known		I/A	
Being Resp for death of non-combatant Seeing avoidable casuatiles or tosses No.						_	ļ							tes:					
Engaged in Close Combal (<20 yards) Seeing Accidental Death Total No. of New Potential Transam Exposures Ne Handing deat Docties or body parts Seeing stronglib and so of Past Potential Transam Exposures Ne Total No. of Rear Potential Transam Exposures Ne Total No. of Combat Deptoyments					nhatant		┼						<u>'</u> ^	/A					
Handling deed bodies or body parts Seeing paroxies Total No. of Post Potential Trauma Expanses P Resign granoises Total No. of Combat Deployments De	\vdash					╅┈	1				01 103	303	To	tal No.	of New Po	tential Trai	ma Ex	posures N=	
Nating enemy combatant Seeing arrocities Total No. of Combat Deployments Department Current Symptoms Screen						\top	<u> </u>				ath by	bumin							
Traumatic Stress Symptoms						L	$oldsymbol{\mathbb{L}}$	Seeing at	rocities										
Depresative Spinode (5 or more symptoms Depresative Spinode (5 or more symptoms in 2 w/s) Panic Attack (4 or more symptoms within 10 min)																			
Numbing, detachments, lack of emotions Depressive mood Anhedoxia Pelpitation Ches Pain Reduced waverness, bring in daze Weight A Inc. Appetite Dec Appet	_				9	4_	_						٠4.	1.					
Dereplacation	무	Issoc	hine detac	more symptoms) hments, lack of emotic	ns	ᆛᅛ	Depre	ssive Episo	rde (5 c	r more sym	ptoms	s in 2 wk	<u>s) L</u>			or more syr	nptom:	s within 10 min) st Pain	
Depresization		Redu	uced aware	ness, being in a daze		1] Wei	ght ∆ ☐ Inc	Appet	ite 🔲 Dec	Appet	lite		☐ Sv	reating	1 1	_ Para	ISTROSIAS	
Contenting of the order symptoms Fatigue / loss of energy Reasperfencing (1 or more symptoms) Inappropriate / Cexcessive guilt Choking Derealization / Dereali						_ _	tnso	mnia, Hyper	rsomnia	a or Sleep D)isturb	ance		☐ Tre	embling sha	king [Chill	s or hot flashes	
Recurrent images, thoughts and feelings Poer concentration or indecisiveness Fear of Incign gorator) and going cazy Recurrent thoughts of death Generalized Anxiety or Nervousness Recurrent thoughts or never Generalized Anxiety or Nervousness Recurrent thoughts of Reference Dentalized Anxiety or Nervousness Generalized Anxiety or Nervousness Gen						☐ Psychomotor agitation or retardation								II Na	usea/abd di	istress] Fear	or dying v/lightheaded	
Recurrent thoughts of death Generalized Anxiety or Nervousness Intense arousel at reminders Thoughts of self-laram Suicidal Ideation Psychotic Symptoms (1 or more symptoms) Avoidance of Stimul Manic Episode (elevated mood + 3 or more below) Hallucinations Paranois Anxiety or Increased Anousal (1 or more) Distinct period of elevated mood + 3 or more Illusions Thought blocking Siege delay or interruption During mood elevation 3 or more: Illusions Thought insortion During mood elevation 3 or more: Illusions Thought insortion During mood elevation 3 or more: Illusions Thought insortion Decreased need for sleep Degrational Stressors Pro-Existing Condition Homefront Issues Anger Pro-Existing Condition Homefront Issues Anger Pro-Existing Condition Homefront Issues Pro-Existing Condition Homefront Issues Past Hx Head Injury Screen Exossive pleasure-seeking activity involvement Non-Combat Severe Event Distractibility Goal-directed activity involvement Non-Combat Severe Event Past Hx Head Injury Screen Exossive pleasure-seeking activity involvement Non-Combat Severe Event Past Hx Head Injury Screen Gr 2 No LOC, Trans Conf. MSA-15m Physical Cognition Emotional Stream Pro-Existing Condition Emotional Screen Pro-Existing Condition Emotional Screen Past Hx Head Injury Screen Gr 2 No LOC, Trans Conf. MSA-15m Physical Cognition Emotional Screen Pro-Existing Condition	Ē	e-exp	eriencing	(1 or more symptoms)	···	☐ Inappropriate / excessive guilt								☐ Choking ☐ Derealization / depersonalization					
Intense arousel at reminders				es, thoughts and feeling	g <u>s</u>								- ₋ -	Generalized Applety or Nervousness					
Anviety or Increased Arousal (1 or more)				at reminders		☐ Thoughts of self-harm ☐ Suicidal Ideation							+	□ Psychotic Symptoms (1 or more symptoms)					
Siege delay or interruption During mood elevation 3 or more: Illusions Thought Insertion Inflated self-selem or grandicity Inflated self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-		voida	nce of Stir	null		☐ Manic Episode (elevated mood + 3 or more below)							w)	☐ Ha	llucinations) Para	noia	
Infated self-selsem or grandicisty																		ght blocking	
Exaggerated startle	┝	Irrita	bility	центирион		☐ Inflated self-esteem or grandiosity								☐ Ideas of Reference ☐ Derailment					
Anger		Poor	concentrat	ion		Decreased need for sleep													
Distractibility Goal-directed activity invokement Leadership Conflict Legal Issues	┝	Ange	ggeratec st er	anie		Flight of ideas / racing thoughts							╌╂] Pre-L	/ Unit Confli				
Deter: Head Injury Screen						☐ Distractibility ☐ Goal-directed activity increase							e	Lead	ership Confl	lict [
Head Injury Screen	<u> </u>						ΠE	xcessive ple	asure-	seeking acti	vity in	volveme	nt [Non-	Combat Sev	vere Event			
Regent Hx Head In Recent Hx Kead In Gr 1 No LOC. Trans Conf. MSAS15m Physical Cognition Emotional Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Cognition Emotional Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Cognition Emotional Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Confusion Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Confusion Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Confusion Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No Loc. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No Loc. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No Loc. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical	٥	tner:																	
Regent Hx Head In Recent Hx Kead In Gr 1 No LOC. Trans Conf. MSAS15m Physical Cognition Emotional Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Cognition Emotional Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Cognition Emotional Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Confusion Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Confusion Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Confusion Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Recent Hx Kead In Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No LOC. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No Loc. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No Loc. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical Physical Gr 2 No Loc. + Conf., MSA 215 min. Physical			Head	Injury Screen			Co	ncussion	Grade	•			Recei	it Hea	d Injury S	ymptom (heck	list:	
None	Past	Hx H	ead inj	Recent Hx Head	ini	Gr	1 No L	OC, Trans C	Conf, M	IS∆<15m				Co	nition	l É	motion	nal	
# of LOC episodes Head Injury Notes: Fatigue Slowed thinking Withdrawal Duration: Lighthoise sensitivity	日:	lone	- Almuraa	None	nouro I	무닭	2 No L	OC, + Conf;	MSA >	15 min.				18	Poor memo	ry	Pers	onality change	
# of LOC episodes Head Injury Notes: Fatigue Slowed thinking Withdrawal Duration: Lighthoise sensitivity	븀	x Alt	Consc			급등	C Brief	- second	s	-	THE R	Rhurred v	rision	15	Concentration	on Δ's	Tem	per outbursts	
Past Psychlatric History : None Hospitalization x days Outpatient Medications Therapy / Counseling	□□⊦	Ix LOC	3			Пro	C Prote	ongedr	mm_	hh dd		Vomiting			i rouble read	ung L	Loss	of interest	
Past Psychlatric History : None Hospitalization x days Outpatient Medications Therapy / Counseling			UU episode	S Head Injury Not	es:							Light/noi	se sensi	ivity	Slowed thin	king L	VVIII	Irawai	
Notes: N/A (clinical notes not available) Substance Abuse Hx	Pas	t Psy	chiatric F	listory : No	ne 🗀	Hospit	alizati	ion xd	lays	□ Ou	tpatie	ent			ns [Therapy	/ Cou	nseling	
Substance Abuse Hx																			
BetOH Abuse Hx	N/A	(clinic	al notes not	l available)															
Developmental and Social History Relationships (Support)	_			Hx						Substance	e Use	e Notes:							
Developmental and Social History Relationships (Support)	_							Hx		NA									
Family of Origin	_ = I	licit D	rug Abuse	Нх	☐ Tobacc	o Use													
□ No Problems □ Abuse: □ Islop Imma □ Islop Imma □ Islop Imma □ Abuse: □ Abuse:<	<u> </u>								ntal a										
□ Violence □ Abuse: □ HS Diploma □ Etol □ Separated □ Married □ ELOH □ Sexual □ Sexual □ College □ College □ NJP x □ Divorced _# of Children □ Orugs □ Physical □ Graduate courses or degree □ Counts Martial x □ Other: □ Other: □ Jail □ Dev/Soc Hx Notes: □ Other: □ Jail □ Other:	L			f Orlgin	-														
EtOH				☐ Abuse:							blem	<u> </u>							
□ Orugs □ Physical □ Graduate courses or degree □ Courts Martial x □ Other: □ Other abuse: □ Other: □ Jail Dev/Soc Hx Notes:			ю						oto								+		
Other abuse:									alu			ial v						_ # OI CAllaren	
Dev/Soc Hx Notes:			ibuse:	Li Friysicai		wurt	, U0 U1 U	-ching			IVIOI U	<u>~</u>	_	-	٦°,	,			
NA					50.00.					, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>									
	N/A																		

FIGURE 3. Patient-provider visit documentation form: Version 2 (DB2)—Front page.

U.S. service members. Because the TMHED patient intake period covered a 13-month time frame, the Iraqi combat theater population was defined as all U.S. military troops with Defense Manpower Data Center records indicating deployment in or around Iraq (i.e., Iraq plus Kuwait) at any time between January 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007. Population parameters were then calculated for age, sex, education, rank, and total number of combat deployments both for the overall deployed population as well as separately for each service (Army, Air Force, Marines, and Navy). TMHED cases were compared to the population parameters using χ^2 statistical procedures for the categorical variables and one-sample t statistics and confidence intervals for the mean age compari-

sons. Because of the large number of statistical comparisons being made, a Bonferroni-adjusted p value of <0.001 was used to indicate a significant difference between the TMHED cases and the deployed population values.

RESULTS

Basic characteristics of the TMHED patients are described separately by the form/software used to document patient encounters because the number of cases and specific measures that will be available for further study varied somewhat depending on the software version used to document a visit. TMHED cases also were compared to the entire service population deployed in the Iraqi combat theater during the time

Name (Last, First MI):	Patient I	.D. / SSN:	
Past Medical Hx: None.	Current Med		List:
Notes: N/A			
		-	
Hx of Present Illness:			
N/A			
	Status Exam		
Appearance & Behavior: Within Normal Limits Eye Contact / Speech: Good eye contact Normal speech	MSE Notes:		
Motor: No psychomotor agitation or retardation	N/A		
Motor: No psychomotor agitation or retardation Mood: Stated mood was			
Affect: was Thought Processes: WNL - Linear, logical and goal directed.	1		
Thought Content: WNL: (check if all 3 boxes below are checked)			
☐ No evidence of psychosis ☐ No suicidal ideation, intent or plan			
☐ No homicidal ideation, intent or plan			
Cognition: Alert & Oriented to person, place, time, situation.			
Concentration Intact. Intelligence estimated to be: Memory: Intact for immediate, long and short-term memory.			
Judgment: Intact			
Insight: Intact			
Impulse Control: Intact Psychiatric Diagnosis		Cor	nbat & Operational Stress
Axis I:	□None		Light
Axis II:	☐ Not Applicable		Moderate
Axis III:			☐ Heavy ·
Axis IV:	Notes on Stresson	e· N/Δ	
Axis V: GAF (Current) –	140/03 0/1 08 03 00	J. 7071	
Axis V: GAF (Past Yr) -	l		
Formulation: N/A			
Trea	tment Plan		
Goals/Medications/Interventions:			☐ Informed Consent Given
1.	4.		
2.	5.		
3.	6. sposition		
Duty Status: ☐ Return To Duty/Fit for full duty ☐Light Duty xday(s)	Safety: (precaution	ons listed he	low) At low risk for harm to self or others at this time
Recommend Medevac out of Theater	At moderate ris		
Limitations:	Precautions:		
Provider Signature:			
		Date/Time	
Psych Tech Name (Printed or Typed):		Daterilme	g;
Provider Name (Printed or Typed):			

FIGURE 4. Patient-provider visit documentation form: Version 2 (DB2)—Back page.

frame using demographic information available for both groups.

Description of TMHED Cases

Table I provides characteristics of TMHED patients separated by the version of the software used to create the database. Because some patients had at least one visit recorded in both software versions (and consequently have both sets of measures unique to each database), these individuals are described separately.

As shown in Table I, just over 10% (n = 137) of cases were women. Approximately 75% (n = 997) of these service members had a high school education, 16% (n = 210) had completed

some college, and almost 9% (n = 112) had a college degree or higher. The group was composed of almost 60% Marine Corps, 29% Army, 11% Navy, and 0.2% Air Force personnel. The majority of patients were mid-paygrade enlisted E-4–E-6 (55%), followed by junior paygrade E-1–E-3 (39%), with only 2% senior enlisted E-7–E-9 and 4% officers or warrant officers. About 63% of patients were on their first deployment, 28% were on their second deployment, and about 9% of patients were on their third deployment or more.

There was substantial variation in the number of repeated mental health visits. As indicated above, the number of visits per patient ranged from 1 to16, with 50% of cases having only 1 documented mental health visit, 21% having 2 visits,

TABLE 1. Descriptive Characteristics of Personnel with Mental Health Encounters in Theater: Frequencies by the Database Used to Document Patients' Encounters

	DB1, n	DB2, n	Both DB1 and DB2, n	Total, n (% of Total)
All Patients	558	705	73	1336 (100)
Sex				
Men	485	652	62	1199 (90)
Women	73	53	11	137 (10)
Education				
High School				
Diploma ^b	427	505	45	997 (75)
Some College	83	114	13	210 (16)
College Graduate				
or Higher	32	66	14	112 (9)
Branch of Service				
Army	173	192	24	389 (29)
Air Force	0	3	0	3 (<1)
Marine Corps	330	429	37	796 (60)
Navy	55	81	12	148 (11)
Rank				
Enlisted: E-1-E-3	204	290	20	514 (39)
Enlisted: E-4-E-6	329	363	45	737 (55)
Enlisted: E-7-E-9	9	19	2	30 (2)
Officers, Including				
Warrant	16	6	0	55 (4)
Total Number Combat		ents		
1	347	448	41	836 (63)
2	153	196	21	370 (28)
3	48	51	11	110 (8)
4+	3	3	0	6 (0.5)
Visits Per Person				
(Range of Visits				
Per Person)	1-16	1-12	2–16	1–16
1	280	384	0	664 (50)
2	115	154	9	278 (21)
3	49	73	13	135 (10)
4	38	51	9 .	98 (7)
5+	76	43	42	161 (12)

"Overall n < 1,336 for some variables because of missing data. "High school diploma or GED.

10% having 3 visits, 7% with 4 visits, and 12% having 5–16 visits. It should be noted, however, that some patients might have had mental health encounters that were not documented in the TMHED database because they occurred before or after the TMHED data collection period. Each recorded visit was checked as either an initial or follow-up visit; and for 248 of the 1,336 patients, the first recorded visit in TMHED was checked as a follow-up visit, suggesting these patients had at least one previous mental health encounter. It was not possible to determine if a prior mental health visit occurred in theater, thereby making the first TMHED encounter an actual follow-up visit in theater, or whether a prior encounter may have referred to a predeployment mental health visit.

Comparison of TMHED Cases With the Iraqi Combat Theater-Deployed Population

Patients seen by mental health providers deployed with the 1st Marine Division were stationed primarily in and around Al Anbar province. Thus, due in part to geographic limitations, TMHED patients would not necessarily be expected to be representative of the overall population of troops deployed in the Iraqi war zone, which covered a much broader region. More importantly, individuals needing mental health care in-theater might be expected to differ on various factors from those not referred for care. Thus, a first step in describing the TMHED cases and identifying potential risk factors for mental health problems in-theater was to compare them to the full population of troops deployed during the same period on key demographic characteristics that were available for the population of service personnel.

Table II provides overall descriptive information, as well as stratified by service branch, on five key demographic characteristics: men and women, education level, rank, total number of combat deployments, and mean age. Statistical tests were computed to compare all TMHED cases with the overall deployed population on these descriptive characteristics, as well as computed separately for each branch of service. The Air Force cases were an exception because there were too few TMHED cases (n = 3) in this branch to conduct formal statistical analyses.

Statistically significant (p < 0.001) differences were found in 5 of 6 comparisons of the total TMHED group with the total deployed population (see the last two columns in Table II). The percentages of TMHED cases from each branch of service were significantly different from the percentages in the overall deployed population. A much higher percentage of TMHED cases were Marines (59.6% vs. 16.0% in the population), a higher percentage were Navy (11.1% vs. 5.3% in the population), but a lower percentage were Army (29.1% vs. 67.1% in the population), and only 3 TMHED cases were Air Force (0.2% vs. 11.7% in the deployed population). For education, the percentage of those who completed high school or a general equivalency diploma (GED) was almost identical in the deployed and TMHED populations ($\sim 75\%$); however, a higher percentage of TMHED cases had some college education (16.2% vs. 4.8% in population), whereas a higher percentage of the deployed population had a college degree or higher (TMHED 8.6% vs. 19.3% in population). The rank comparison showed that TMHED cases were more likely to be junior (E-1-E-3) enlisted (38.5% of cases vs. 24.1% of the deployed population), with only 4.1% of TMHED cases but 13.6% of the deployed population being officers. Regarding total number of combat deployments, almost identical percentages of TMHED and the deployed population were in their first and second deployment; however, a slightly higher percentage of TMHED cases were on their third deployment (8.3% vs. 6.3% of the deployed population), and a slightly lower percentage of TMHED cases were on their fourth or more combat deployment (0.5% vs. 2.0% of the population), which reflected differences primarily among Navy personnel (see below). Finally, the age of TMHED cases was about 2 years younger on average (25.9 vs. 28.0 years old) than the deployed population. There was no significant difference

TABLE II. Descriptive Comparisons of TMHED Cases with Deployed Iraqi Combat Theater Population, January 2006–January 2007^a

	A	Army		Air Force		ne Corps	1	Navy	TMHED Cases ^b	Deployed Population	
	TMHED %	Deployment %	TMHED %	Deployment %	TMHED %	Deployment %	TMHED %	Deployment %	TMHED %	Deployment %	
Troops	p <	< 0.001	b		p <	< 0.001	p <	< 0.001	p < 0.001		
Percent of Cases (TMHED, n)	29.1 (389)	67.1	0.2 (3)	11.7	59.6 (796)	16.0	11.1 (148)	5.3	100 (1336)	100	
Sex	p <	< 0.001			р	< 0.001		ns		ns	
Men	85.1	90.3	100.0	85.8	92.1	96.4	89.2	89.3	89.7	90.7	
Women	14.9	9.7	0.0	14.2	7.9	3.6	10.8	10.7	10.3	9.3	
Education	p <	< 0.001	_		p	< 0.001	P	< 0.001	p < 0.001		
High School	75.4	75.2	66.7	64.5	79.1	87.5	53.5	76.3	75.2	75.9	
Diploma/GED											
Some College	14.3	5.2	33.3	7.8	14.4	1.0	30.3	3.7	16.2	4.8	
College Graduate,	10.3	19.6	0.0	27.7	6.5	11.5	16.2	20.0	8.6	19.3	
Plus											
Rank	p <	p < 0.001 —			p < 0.001		p < 0.001		p	< 0.001	
Enlisted: E-1-E-3	16.8	21.0	66.7	15.1	51.5	46.5	25.0	15.5	38.5	24.1	
Enlisted: E-4-E-6	79.1	57.1	33.3	59.5	41.5	38.5	66.2	58.5	55.2	54.5	
Enlisted: E-7-E-9	1.3	8.0	0.0	10.2	2.6	5.0	2.7	8.7	2.2	7.8	
Officers Including Warrant	2.8	13.9	0.0	15.2	4.4	10.0	6.1	17.3	4.1	13.6	
Total Number of		ns				ns		<i>p</i> < 0.001		<i>p</i> < 0.001	
Combat Deployments											
1	65.5	61.9	100.0	69.4	60.8	59.8	69.6	80.5	63.2	63.5	
2	28.8	29.4	0.0	21.9	28.8	32.4	22.3	14.3	28.0	28.2	
3	4.9	6.6	0.0	5.3	10.0	7.2	8.1	2.6	8.3	6.3	
4+	0.8	2.1	0.0	3.4	0.4	0.6	0.0	2.6	0.5	2.0	
Age		ns	_			ns	<i>p</i> < 0.001		p	< 0.001	
Mean (SD)	28.4 (7.4)	28.3	23.0 (2.0)	29.5	24.1 (4.7)	24.4	28.8 (7.0)	31.6	25.9 (6.3)	28.0	

"Overall TMHED n = 1,336, but was less for some variables because of missing data. Because of the large number of comparisons, a Bonferroni adjusted p value of <0.001 was used to determine significant differences between TMHED cases and population parameters. No statistical comparisons were made for Air Force because of only 3 TMHED cases. ns, not significant.

between all TMHED cases and the total deployed population in percentages of men and women.

When examining descriptive characteristics separately by service (except for the Air Force, which had too few cases to examine), most comparisons indicated statistically significant differences between the branch-specific TMHED cases and the deployed population for that branch. For example, among Army personnel, a higher percentage of TMHED cases were women (14.9% vs. 9.7% in the deployed Army population). TMHED Army cases were more likely to have attended some college (14.3% vs. 5.2%, respectively), but less likely to have college degrees (10.3% vs. 19.6% in the deployed population); and TMHED cases were less likely to be senior enlisted or officers (4.1% of cases vs. 21.9% in the deployed Army population). However, there were no significant differences in number of combat deployments or mean age (28 years) in Army comparisons.

Similar patterns were found for Marines, with TMHED cases having a higher percentage of women than the deployed Marine population (7.9% vs. 3.6%, respectively); more Marine TMHED cases having attended some college (14.4% vs. 1.0%, respectively) but fewer cases having college degrees than in the deployed population (6.5% vs. 11.5%); and fewer senior enlisted and officers among Marine TMHED cases than in the deployed Marine Corps population (7.0% vs. 15.0%). Also, there were no significant differences in number of combat deployments or mean age (24 years) for Marines.

Unlike the Army and Marine comparisons, there was no significant sex distribution difference between Navy TMHED cases and the deployed Navy population (just under 11% women in both groups). TMHED Navy cases were less likely to have only a high school education (53.5% vs. 76.3% of the deployed Navy population), but were more likely to have some college education (30.3% vs. 3.7%, respectively) and only slightly less likely to have a college degree (16.2% vs. 20.0% in the deployed Navy population). There were also significantly more junior- and mid-level enlisted personnel among Navy TMHED cases than in the deployed Navy population (91.2% vs. 74.0%, respectively). Navy TMHED cases were less likely than the deployed Navy population to be on their first combat deployment (69.6% vs. 80.5%, respectively) but more likely to be on their second or third combat deployment (30.4% vs. 16.9% in the population); also, Navy TMHED cases were almost 3 years younger on average (28.8 years of age vs. 31.6 years in the deployed Navy population).

DISCUSSION

The primary aim of this report was to provide a comprehensive description of the TMHED study design and methods, including the patient population, study procedures, and types of measures documented during clinical psychiatric encounters with OSCAR providers in the Iraqi combat theater. The 1,336 TMHED cases had a total of 3,180 patient visits

with 1–16 visits per person (half of cases having 2 or more visits). Just over 10% of cases were women, approximately 75% had a high school education, and the majority of cases were Marines (60%). Most patients (55%) were mid-paygrade enlisted E-4–E-6, and just under two-thirds (63%) were on their first deployment. The average age of the TMHED cases was almost 26 years.

A first step in better understanding and treating those needing psychiatric care during combat deployment is to identify risk factors associated with mental health problems. Thus, a secondary aim of this paper was to compare TMHED cases with the full U.S. military population deployed in and around the Iraqi combat theater during the study's time frame using available demographic information. This comparison provided a first look at similarities and differences in potential demographic risk factors that should be further explored, especially as they might relate both to in-theater treatment as well as postdeployment outcomes.

The largest difference between the TMHED cases and the combat-deployed population was in the distribution across the four military branches. A substantially higher percentage of TMHED cases were Marines and Navy personnel and a lower percentage were Army and Air Force personnel compared to the total population deployed to the Iraqi combat theater. However, the large and predominant percentage of Marine TMHED cases was not surprising considering that the OSCAR providers were deployed with the 1st Marine Division and were stationed primarily at bases within one Iraqi province (AI Anbar), which was also where most Marines were stationed during the study period.

Results for other demographic comparisons varied somewhat by branch of service, however, TMHED cases included fewer individuals with a college degree, fewer senior enlisted personnel and officers, slightly more cases in their third combat deployment, and younger individuals than those found in the overall deployed population. These differences point to potential risk factors that should be further explored, especially to determine the extent to which these might represent independent risk factors versus constellations of correlated characteristics. TMHED cases being younger, less likely to have a college degree, and less likely be senior enlisted personnel or officers might reflect covarying risks related to maturation. Alternatively, these might represent independent risk factors for mental health problems in theater. For example, the "resilience" that might be developed in the process of earning a college degree, or the personal characteristics required to meet selection criteria to become senior enlisted or officers might be independent "protective factors" against mental health problems in stressful situations. A final notable finding was that a slightly higher than expected percentage of TMHED cases were in their third combat deployment compared to the overall deployed population. A third combat deployment might represent a "mental fatigue threshold" for some individuals, especially those who are younger, junior enlisted, and somewhat less educated. This finding certainly warrants follow-up research.

In summary, a unique strength of the TMHED study is the in-depth documentation of psychiatric encounters for all cases seen by mental health providers deployed with the 1st Marine Division in the Iraqi combat zone over a yearlong period. In-theater clinical encounter data provide a unique opportunity to examine the characteristics of early psychiatric intervention and address fundamental questions regarding acute mental health disorders in a combat zone, as well as prospectively study postdeployment outcomes. Unlike retrospective recalls of combat trauma, often assessed months or years after exposure, TMHED data can be used to study the unique characteristics and effects of specific acute combat exposures as potentially traumatizing events, and assess whether early intervention relates to long-term postdeployment outcomes.

Although beyond the scope of the current report, subsequent articles will address broader topics that include describing patient-reported combat and psychological trauma exposure, stress and affective symptoms, and provider-documented mental status, stress diagnoses, treatment plans, and case dispositions. Relationships among the TMHED measures documented in theater, as well as prospective prediction of postdeployment use of medical services and career and performance outcomes, will also be explored. Of particular interest is whether TMHED data predict postdeployment use of both inpatient and outpatient medical services and career outcomes, such as early attrition, promotions and demotions, highest paygrade achieved, reenlistment, and type of discharge from the service. Findings from TMHED studies could provide useful information relevant to recommendations that inform military policymakers responsible for service members' well being. A better understanding of early in-theater psychiatric intervention that helps service members cope in stressful combat situations could have an impact on improving the continuum of care and long-term quality of life of service members exposed to combat stress.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank James Zouris for providing the population parameters for U.S. service personnel deployed in the Iraqi combat theater during the study's time frame. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Defense, Bureau of Medicine, under NHRC Work Unit Numbers 60812 and 60819.

REFERENCES

- Dedert EA, Green KT, Calhoun PS, et al: Association of trauma exposure with psychiatric morbidity in military veterans who have served since September 11, 2001. J Psychiatr Res 2009; 43(9): 830-6.
- Dohrenwend BP, Turner JB, Turse NA, Adams BG, Koenen KC, Marshall R: The psychological risks of Vietnam for U.S. veterans: a revisit with new data and methods. Science 2006; 313: 979–82.
- Hoge CW, Lesikar SE, Guevara R, et al: Mental disorders among U.S. military personnel in the 1990s: association with high levels of health care utilization and early military attrition. Am J Psychiatry 2002; 159: 1576–83.

- Hoge CW, Castro CA, Messer SC, McGurk D, Cotting DI, Koffman RL: Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 13–22.
- Hoge CW, Auchterlonie JL, Milliken CS: Mental health problems, use and mental health services, and attrition for military service after returning from deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. JAMA 2006; 295(9): 1023–32.
- Hoge CW, Terhakopian A, Castro CA, Messer SC, Engel CC: Association of posttraumatic stress disorder with somatic symptoms, health care visits, and absenteeism among Iraq war veterans. Am J Psychiatry 2007; 164(1): 150-3.
- Hoge CW, McGurk D, Thomas JL, Cox AL, Engel CC, Castro CA: Mild traumatic brain injury in U.S. soldiers returning from Iraq. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 453-63.
- 8. Jordan BK, Schlenger WE, Hough R, Kulka RA, Weiss D: Lifetime and current prevalence of specific psychiatric disorders among Vietnam veterans and controls. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991; 48: 207–15.
- Kang HK, Hyams KC: Mental health care needs among recent work veterans. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 1289.
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB: Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1995; 52(12): 1048–60.
- Prigerson HG, Maciejewski PK, Rosenheck RA: Population attributable fractions of psychiatric disorders and behavioral outcomes associated with combat exposure among US men. Am J Public Health 2002; 92(1): 59-63.
- Rosenheck RA, Fontana AF: Recent trends in VA treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder and other mental disorders. Health Aff (Millwood) 2007; 26(6): 1720-7.
- Schneiderman AI, Braver ER, Kang HK: Understanding sequelae of injury mechanisms and mild traumatic brain injury incurred during the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan: persistent postconcussive symptoms and posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Epidemiol 2008; 167(12): 1446-52.
- 14. Smith TC, Ryan MAK, Wingard DL, Slymen DJ, Sallis JF, Kritz-Silverstein D: New onset and persistent symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder self-reported after deployment and combat exposures: prospective population based US military cohort study. BMJ 2008; 336: 366-71.
- 15. Taubman SB: Relationships between the nature and timing of mental disorders before and after deploying to Iraq/Afghanistan, active component, U.S. Armed Forces, 2002–2008. Medical Surveillance Monthly Report 2009; 16(2): 2–6. Available at http://www.afhsc.mil/viewMSMR?file=2009/v16_n02.pdf#Page=01; retrieved May 1, 2010 from the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center.
- LeardMann CA, Smith TC, Smith B, Wells TS, Ryan MAK, for the Millennium Cohort Study Team: Baseline self reported functional health and vulnerability to post-traumatic stress disorder after combat deployment: prospective US military cohort study. BMJ 2009; 338: b1273.
- Galarneau MR, Hancock WC, Konoske P, et al: The Navy-Marine Corps Combat Trauma Registry. Mil Med 2006; 171(8): 691–7.
- MacGregor AJ, Shaffer RA, Dougherty AL, et al: Psychological correlates of battle and nonbattle injury among Operation Iraqi Freedom veterans. Mil Med 2009; 174(3): 224–31.
- Warner CH, Breitbach JE, Appenzeller GN, Yates V, Grieger T, Webster WG: Division mental health in the new brigade combat team structure: part I. Predeployment and deployment. Mil Med 2007; 172(9): 907-11.
- Warner CH, Appenzeller GN, Parker JR, Warer CM, Hoge CW: Effectiveness of mental health screening and coordination of in-theater care prior to deployment to Iraq: a cohort study. Am J Psychiatry 2011; 168(4): 378–85.

Copyright of Military Medicine is the property of Association of Military Surgeons of the United States and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB Control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE (DD MM YY) 3. DATES COVERED (from - to) 2. REPORT TYPE 11 10 10 Journal submission January 2006-January 2007 4. TITLE 5a. Contract Number: Theater Mental Health Encounter Data (TMHED): Overview of Design and 5b. Grant Number: 5c. Program Element Number: Methods 5d. Project Number: 6. AUTHORS 5e. Task Number: Conway, Terry L.; Hammer, Paul S.; Galarneau, Michael R.; Larson, Gerald 5f. Work Unit Number: 60812, 60819 E.; Edwards, Nathan; Schmied, Emily A.; Ly, Hoa; Schmitz, Kimberly J.; Webb-Murphy, Jennifer A.; Boucher, Wayne C.; Johnson, Douglas C.; Ghaed, Shiva G. 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commanding Officer Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Rd 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT San Diego, CA 92106-3521 NUMBER

8. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Commanding Officer

Naval Medical Research Center

503 Robert Grant Ave

Silver Spring, MD 20910-7500

Commander

Navy Medicine Support Command

P.O. Box 140

Jacksonville, FL 32212-0140

Report No. 10-40

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
NMRC/NMSC

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(s)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

Research has documented higher risks for mental and physical health problems among individuals deployed to war zones. Limited information has hindered studies of acute combat stress and its impact on mental and physical health. To fill this gap, the Operational Stress Combat and Readiness (OSCAR) initiative integrated mental health practitioners into Navy and Marine Corps units serving in the Iraqi combat theater. All cases seen by 1st Marine Division OSCAR team providers between January 2006 and January 2007 were documented and compose the Theater Mental Health Encounter Data (TMHED) database. This report describes the TMHED study design, data collection approach, descriptive characteristics, and measures. A total of 1,336 patients and 3,180 patient visits were documented. Just over 10% of cases were women, approximately 75% had a high school education, and the majority of cases were Marines Corps personnel (60%). Most patients (55%) were mid-pay grade enlisted E4–E6s, and over half (57%) were on their first deployment. TMHED provides a unique opportunity to examine characteristics of early psychiatric intervention in a combat zone, and may help improve health outcomes and quality of life of combat-deployed service members.

15. SUBJECT TERMS Operational Stress Combat and Readiness, OSCAR, Theater Mental Health Encounter Data, TMHED, quality of life 18. NUMBER 18a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT OF PAGES Commanding Officer a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE **UNCL** 11 UNCL UNCL UNCL 18b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDING AREA CODE) COMM/DSN: (619) 553-8429